UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,637	09/29/2003	James G. Norman JR.	66475/42724	6079
7590 03/21/2007 Alan H. Norman			EXAMINER	
Thompson Coburn LLP			RANGREJ, SHEETAL	
One US Bank Plaza St. Louis, MO 63101-9928			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Louis, 1410	./	<i>t</i> .	3626	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/21/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/675,637	NORMAN, JAMES G.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sheetal R. Rangrej	3626	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply		h the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING IS CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS CHEVER IS LONGER TO SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	ATION. ply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ma	rch 19, 2007.		
2a)[☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	·	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	·	
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	s/are: a)  accepted or b)  ≥ e drawing(s) be held in abeyan ction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 05/13/2004.		formal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3626

## **Prosecution History Summary**

1. Claims 1-20 are pending.

### **Drawings**

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "30" and "36" have both been used to designate "keyboard"; reference characters "28" and "34" have both been used to designate "display"; reference characters "26" and "40" have both been used to designate "a system unit"; and reference characters "24" and "42" have both been used to designate "a modem". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because reference character "30" (figure 1) is used to designate a user terminal when also used to represent keyboard. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should

Art Unit: 3626

include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 50 and 52 (page 10). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be

Art Unit: 3626

notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: user terminal 30 (page 6, 11) where character 30 is used to designate a keyboard (page 5, 22); reference character 32 is used as terminals (page 6, 22), when also an internet (page 6, 12); and reference character 34 designates high-speed lines (page 6, 23 and 24) and also display (page 6, 17).

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 6-11, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rappaport (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0007285).
- 8. As per claim 1, Rappaport teaches a method comprising:
  - a. Providing a host computer system (Rappaport: para. 0056, 5-6), the host computer system being adapted to retrieve descriptive information about a

Art Unit: 3626

plurality of ailments (Rappaport: para. 0021, 49-52), the descriptive information comprising one or more of the following: physiological aspects of the ailment, pathological aspects of the ailment, information as to whether the ailment is treatable, information about expected clinical course, and information about potential complications, the host computer system further being adapted to send to a client computer system communicating with the host computer system via the Internet (Rappaport: para. 0022, 9-11) the descriptive information of any one of the plurality of ailments upon receiving from the client computer system a search query concerning said any one of the plurality of ailments (Rappaport: para. 0021, 17-26);

- b. Associating the descriptive information of each of the plurality of ailments with a plurality of ICD or CPT codes (Rappaport: para. 0021, 44-49; para. 0021, 55-56; para. 0023, 3-5);
- c. Associating a plurality of content components with the plurality of ICD or CPT codes in a manner so that each of the content components is associated with at least one of the ICD or CPT codes (Rappaport: para. 0023, 11-20);
- d. Sending the descriptive information of said any one of the plurality of ailments to the client computer system via the Internet (Rappaport: para.
  0022, 9-11), the sending of the descriptive information being initiated by the host computer system receiving from the client computer system the search

Art Unit: 3626

query (Rappaport: para. 0022, 3-4) concerning said any one of the plurality of ailments (Rappaport: para. 0021, 49-52);

- e. Sending to the client computer system via the Internet each of the content components that are associated with the ICD or CPT codes with which the sent descriptive information is associated (Rappaport: para. 0022, 14-15).
- 9. As per claim 2, the method of claim 1 is as described. Rappaport further teaches wherein the plurality of content components comprises names of at least a plurality of health care providers (Rappaport: para. 0115).
- 10. As per claim 3, the method of claim 2 is as described. Rappaport further teaches wherein the step of associating the plurality of content components with the plurality of ICD or CPT codes comprises associating the names of the health care providers with the plurality of ICD or CPT codes in a manner so that each of the names of the health care providers is associated with at least one of the ICD or CPT codes (Rappaport: para. 0134).
- 11. As per claim 4, the method of claim 3 is as described. Rappaport further teaches wherein the ICD or CPT codes with which the name of each health care provider are associated are ICD or CPT codes chosen by each such health care provider (Rappaport: para. 0138). The examiner interprets that if the codes were created then it would have been chosen by a health care provider.

Art Unit: 3626

12. As per claim 6, the method of claim 1 is as described. Rappaport further teaches wherein the search query concerning said any one of the plurality of ailments comprises an ICD or CPT code (Rappaport: para. 0023, 8-12).

- 13. As per claim 7, the method of claim 1 is as described. Rappaport further teaches herein the host computer system communicates with the client computer system in a manner for providing on the client computer system an interface for the host computer system, the interface prompting a user to input a search query (Rappaport: claims 46 and 48).
- 14. As per claim 8, the method of claim 7 is as described. Rappaport further teaches wherein the interface comprises a community, and wherein selection of the community by the user causes the search query to be sent to the host computer system (Rappaport: fig. 10 [1009]). The examiner interprets that the requested procedure/test (i.e. query) was sent to the host computer system by a selection of the community.
- 15. As per claim 9, the method of claim 7 is as described. Rappaport further teaches wherein the interface comprises an icon on a community, and wherein selection of the icon by the user causes the search query to be sent to the host computer system (Rappaport: fig. 10 [1013]). The examiner interprets that an icon was clicked to send the request.

Art Unit: 3626

16. As per claim 10, the method of claim 9 is as described. Please see remarks for claim 8 and 9. The examiner interprets that this is all done on a webpage (Rappaport: para. 0022, 9-11).

- 17. As per claim 11, the method of claim 9 is as described. Rapport further teaches
  - a. The step of associating the descriptive information of each of the plurality of ailments with the plurality of ICD or CPT codes comprises associating the descriptive information of each of the plurality of ailments with a plurality of ICD and CPT codes (Rappaport: para. 0021, 44-49; para. 0021, 55-56; para. 0023, 3-5);
  - b. The step of associating the plurality of content components with the plurality of ICD or CPT codes comprises associating the plurality of content components with the plurality of ICD and CPT codes (Rappaport: para. 0023, 11-20).
- 18. As per claim 19, Rappaport teaches an internet navigational system comprising:
  - a. At least one computer database having stored therein descriptive information about a plurality of ailments, the descriptive information comprising one or more of the following: physiological aspects of the ailment, pathological aspects of the ailment, information as to whether the ailment is treatable, information about expected clinical course, and information about potential complications, the descriptive information of each of the plurality of ailments being associated with a plurality of ICD or CPT codes, the at least one computer

Art Unit: 3626

database also having stored therein a plurality of content components, each of the content components being associated with at least one of the ICD or CPT codes (Rappaport: para. 0061, 1-7; para. 0064, 4-9);

- b. A host computer system adapted and configured to retrieve from the at least one computer database the descriptive information, the host computer system further being adapted and configured to send to a client computer system communicating with the host computer system via the Internet the descriptive information of any one of the plurality of ailments upon receiving from the client computer system a search query concerning said any one of the plurality of ailments, the host computer system further being adapted and configured to send to the client computer system via the Internet at least one of the content components based upon the association of the ICD or CPT codes such that the sent content components are associated with the ICD or CPT codes with which the sent descriptive information is associated (Rappaport: para. 0056).
- 19. As per claim 20, Rappaport teaches an internet navigational system. Please see remarks for claim 19.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3626

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

21. <u>Claim 5 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable</u> over Rappaport (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0007285) in view of Tallman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,964,700).

22. As per claim 5, the method of claim 2 is as described. Rappaport does not teach wherein the step of associating a plurality of content components with the plurality of ICD or CPT codes comprises associating each of at least some of the names with at least one of the ICD or CPT codes in a manner so that each of the plurality of ICD or CPT codes employed has at least one of the names associated therewith.

Tallman teaches wherein the step of associating a plurality of content components with the plurality of ICD or CPT codes comprises associating each of at least some of the names with at least one of the ICD or CPT codes in a manner so that each of the plurality of ICD or CPT codes employed has at least one of the names associated therewith (Tallman: col. 40, 35-46). The examiner interprets that the provider-matched button implies each plurality of ICD or CPT codes employed has at least one of the names.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to combine the teachings of Rappaport and Tallman with the motivation to process, identify, or classify the medical procedure/test performed by a service provider (Rappaport: para. 0006, 6-7).

23. As per claim 12, Rappaport teaches a method comprising:

Art Unit: 3626

a. Providing a host computer system (Rappaport: para. 0056, 5-6), the host computer system being adapted to retrieve descriptive information about a plurality of ailments (Rappaport: para. 0021, 49-52), the descriptive information comprising one or more of the following: physiological aspects of the ailment, pathological aspects of the ailment, information as to whether the ailment is treatable, information about expected clinical course, and information about potential complications, the host computer system further being adapted to send to a client computer system communicating with the host computer system via the Internet (Rappaport: para. 0022, 9-11) the descriptive information of any one of the plurality of ailments upon receiving from the client computer system a search query concerning said any one of the plurality of ailments (Rappaport: para. 0021, 17-26);

- b. Associating the descriptive information of each of the plurality of ailments with a plurality of ICD or CPT codes (Rappaport: para. 0021, 44-49; para. 0021, 55-56; para. 0023, 3-5);
- d. Sending the descriptive information of said any one of the plurality of ailments to the client computer system via the Internet (Rappaport: para. 0022, 9-11), the sending of the descriptive information being initiated by the host computer system receiving from the client computer system the search query (Rappaport: para. 0022, 3-4) concerning said any one of the plurality of ailments (Rappaport: para. 0021, 49-52);

Art Unit: 3626

e. Sending to the client computer system each of the names of the plurality of health care providers that are associated with the ICD or CPT codes with which the sent descriptive information is associated (Rappaport: para. 0022, 14-15).

Rappaport does not teach (c) associating names of at least a plurality of health care providers with the plurality of ICD or CPT codes in a manner so that each of the names of the plurality of health care providers is associated with at least one of the ICD or CPT codes.

Tallman teaches (c) associating names of at least a plurality of health care providers with the plurality of ICD or CPT codes in a manner so that each of the names of the plurality of health care providers is associated with at least one of the ICD or CPT codes (Tallman: col. 40, 35-46). The examiner interprets that the provider-matched button implies each plurality of ICD or CPT codes employed has at least one of the names.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to combine the teachings of Rappaport and Tallman with the motivation to process, identify, or classify the medical procedure/test performed by a service provider (Rappaport: para. 0006, 6-7).

24. As per claim 13, the method of claim 12 is as described. Please see remarks for claim 4.

Art Unit: 3626

25. As per claim 14, the method of claim 12 is as described. Please see remarks for claim 6.

- 26. As per claim 15, the method of claim 12 is as described. Please see remarks for claim 7.
- 27. As per claim 16, the method of claim 15 is as described. Please see remarks for claim 8.
- 28. As per claim 17, the method of claim 15 is as described. Please see remarks for claim 9.
- 29. As per claim 18, the method of claim 17 is as described. Please see remarks for claim 10.

#### Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheetal R. Rangrej whose telephone number is 571-270-1368. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SRR Sff 107

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER